



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

08/169,127

|                    |             |                       |                     |
|--------------------|-------------|-----------------------|---------------------|
| APPLICATION NUMBER | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED APPLICANT | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. |
|--------------------|-------------|-----------------------|---------------------|

|          |
|----------|
| EXAMINER |
|----------|

|          |              |
|----------|--------------|
| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
|----------|--------------|

56

DATE MAILED:

## INTERVIEW SUMMARY

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Marianne L. Padgett (3) Jeffrey Costellia  
(2) Shrive Beck (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview 7/16/02Type: ☐ Telephonic ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☒ applicant's representative).Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No If yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_Agreement ☒ was reached. ☐ was not reached.Claim(s) discussed: those of recordIdentification of prior art discussed: art of record, especially Yama-zaki et al (358)

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed claim language as per non-entered amend D of paper #19 (11/7/95), which would obviate the ambiguity issues and priority issues of 1-7, removing the 103 rejections over Yama-zaki et al (358). Claim language was tentatively agreed to pending applicant approval. Sections 8-14 will now be addressed by applicant in supplemental response.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has been ready been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.

FORM PTOL-413 (REV.1-96)

Marianne Padgett  
MARIANNE PADGETT  
PRIMARY EXAMINER  
GROUP 1700